Nutrition and emissions

Oene Oenema, Sven Sommer, Harald Menzi, Martin Dedina, Klaas vd Hoek, André Aarnink





- Animal feeding should be the first item in the document
 There exactly be used as a part of the provided by the second second
- There should be more emphasis on nutrition
- Both documents have there value, but should be adapted:
 - One document should be easy to read (summary document)
 - One background document



What should be in the document?

- More emphasis on TAN, organic N and pH
- Principles of reduction
- More emphasis on cattle feeding (indicator: urea)
- How to control feeding measures at farm level? Indactors? Measuring ammonia simply at farm level? Adding a tracer that can be easily determined.
- Discussion and more explanation about the different (integral) feeding strategies to reduce emissions
- Grazing strategies



Feeding and manure(?) additives

- We make a list of available additives (every body in the group sends info to Martin)
- What should be in the list: name of product, name of producing/selling company, animal category, efficiency for emission reduction, working mechanism, side effects,
- Additives are defined in a broad way, so including e.g. acids to manure
- Based on this list and based on scientific evidence we can propose which additives should move to Cat. 1.



Revision of document

We should make a review with possibilities and working mechanisms for reducing emissions by nutritional means. The review should include references (reference list)

We should have funds for revising the document

